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Seminar-Paper Structure

Each paper should use the following structure:

- Title page
  - Paper title
  - Author
  - Seminar title
  - Supervisor
  - Date or Semester

- Abstract
- Introduction
- Main sections (depending on the topic)
- Conclusion
- List of Figures (optional)
- List of Tables (optional)
- References
Add references to copied figures

Avoid low-resolution figures – use vector graphics

Align figures always to the top or bottom of the page – never floating

Provide figure labels consisting of a short description (used for the “List of Figures”) followed by a detailed description.

Separate complex figures into panels (a, b, c, etc.) and describe each panel individually in the label
Figure: Medium-level software components. The CanManager and MuscleManager components provide the main functionality for controlling the individual muscles of the robot while the LogManager and the MuscleScripting component are used for distributed logging and system testing, respectively. The RobotControl component has been added to illustrate how high-level components will attach to the medium-level components in the future. [7]
Prove statements using references.
Avoid using internet sites as references (never use Wikipedia).
Prefer high-quality references – books, dissertations, papers from high-impact journals
Position references right after their corresponding statement
Use standardized styles for the bibliography (e.g. DIN-1505 or \LaTeX styles)

O. Holland and R. Knight, “The anthropomimetic principle,” in *Adaptation in Artificial and Biological Systems*, 2006
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- try to use graphics
- do not just put your headwords on the slides
- don’t put longer text on your slides, nobody will read it
By the way...

Correct translation ;-) 

\[ \text{Actuator} \neq \text{Aktuator} \]

\[ \text{Actuator} = \text{Aktor} \]
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The following grading scheme was used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Commitment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content (15 %)</td>
<td>Content (10 %)</td>
<td>Presentation contributions (10 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide style (5 %)</td>
<td>Structure/Layout/ Figures (10 %)</td>
<td>Reference Quality (8 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replies to questions (10 %)</td>
<td>Examples (5 %)</td>
<td>Independence (8 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation style (10 %)</td>
<td>Referencing (4 %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time management (5 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 %</td>
<td>29 %</td>
<td>26 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Any questions?