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Abstract—Model-based development tools are one possible solution to handle the increasing complexity of mechatronic systems. While traditional approaches often separate design of hardware and software, especially in mechatronic systems hardware/software interaction is the most critical component. Hence, both aspects must be considered in this context. The goal is a model-based development tool for software/hardware co-design including the generation of efficient code for the respective target platforms. EasyLab is a modular and easily expandable development tool especially suitable for such applications. Its objectives are to facilitate reusability and to accelerate the development process. It raises the level of abstraction and thus simplifies the development of mechatronic systems even for unexperienced users. A graphical user interface provides various modeling languages that are easy to use. By employing platform optimized generation of the code, efficiency of the resulting programs can be guaranteed, which we demonstrate on a set of experimental mechatronic systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Mechatronic and embedded systems are becoming increasingly complex. While sophisticated tools for the development of the mechanical and electronic parts are available, the implementation of the software is typically done from scratch. Due to shortened product life cycles and an emerging need for flexibility, this approach is not feasible any more. Development tools for hardware/software co-design are required that raise the level of abstraction to accelerate the development process, but guarantee an efficient and reliable implementation to match the resource constraints of mechatronic systems.

For standard software, model-based development [1] has become state of the art in software engineering. Several model-based development tools, such as Matlab/Simulink [2] or Scade [3] are available for the domain of embedded systems. However, these tools rely on the generation of ANSI-C code and therefore can only be used to implement the application functionality. Code for hardware related aspects and other non-functional properties has to be manually implemented by the developer. This code however forms the majority of the code required for mechatronic systems. The major reason why the generation of such code is not supported is the platform dependency of the code. Due to the vast heterogeneity of hardware [4], it is not possible to implement a code generator that supports all possible hardware platforms a priori. Rather, a suitable development tool must be designed in a way that it can be easily expanded to support further platforms.

This paper presents the development tool EasyLab that targets the development of mechatronic systems. The main contributions of this work are a tool with a high level of abstraction that simplifies and accelerates development, a fully modular design to support reusability, a completely integrated solution for hardware/software co-design, and the possibility to generate efficient, hardware-dependent code.

The developer benefits from the abstraction of a graphical development interface similar to widespread graphical environments such as Matlab/Simulink or LabView. In contrast to existing tools, EasyLab allows the specification of hardware characteristics and generation of corresponding code. The tool is expandable in two dimensions: regarding the modeling and the code generation functionality. Expandability with respect to the modeling functionality is achieved by relying on actor-oriented design [5]. An actor is a software component and can for instance realize a PID controller, but also the triggering of a sensor or actuator. Expandability with respect to the code generation functionality is achieved by a template-based approach [6]. The main difference between template-based and component-based [7] approaches is the high adaptability of templates. It is therefore possible to generate very efficient code [8].

The outline of this paper is as follows. First, we introduce the Match-X construction kit, which is one of the main target platforms of EasyLab. The main part of the paper covers design and implementation of EasyLab. We introduce two graphical programming languages as well as other key features of the application, such as code generation and simulation. To illustrate the software modeling process with EasyLab, we present two experimental setups that were built during the development of EasyLab. Finally, we list related and future work and summarize the goals and results presented in this paper.

II. HARDWARE TARGET PLATFORMS

EasyLab is designed to support different microcontroller and processor architectures with a rich set of peripherals and has a focus on resource-constrained systems. For an overview of EasyLab’s capability to model these aspects of hardware, see section III-B. To demonstrate the potential of our approach, a modular hardware architecture is preferable. We therefore chose the Match-X construction kit as a reference platform which is explained in the following.
A. The Match-X Construction Kit

The Match-X construction kit consists of modular components that can be flexibly assembled into complex mechatronic systems. The construction kit forms the first stage of controller hardware development for mechatronic systems and provides a way to efficiently prototype such systems. The development process along with mechanical and electrical interfaces of the hardware building blocks are specified in a standard of the VDMA\(^1\) [9]. The standard also describes the transition to small batch production as well as series production. Figure 1 shows the geometry of a building block from the Match-X construction kit. Figure 2 shows a completely assembled stack of three building blocks. The block at the bottom contains a voltage regulator and features an RS485 interface, the block in the middle contains a Microchip PIC18F2520 microprocessor and the topmost block allows attachment of sensors and actuators. Although the size of these building blocks is very small, the microcontroller is clocked with 20 MHz and is thus suitable to perform complex controller tasks.

B. Other Target Platforms

To point out the universality of this approach, EasyLab does not only focus on the Match-X hardware construction kit, but also on a variety of other microcontroller platforms. In this paper, we show the results of an evaluation where we used EasyLab to design the application logic for a complex control task running on an ATMEL ATmega128 microprocessor. We also plan to support other microprocessor types like ARM and Fujitsu processors.

III. EASYLAB

EasyLab provides a high-level programming environment for the design of software for mechatronic systems. Due to the raised level of abstraction, even people unexperienced in microcontroller programming can develop complex applications using various graphical modeling languages. The design process is based on the selection of functional components (actors) that can be connected to form the application. One can distinguish between two different types of actors: hardware dependent actors (e.g., a software component controlling a sensor) and hardware independent actors (e.g., basic mathematical operations or more complex ones like various controllers). As model of computation, the synchronous data flow model is chosen as it reflects the typical engineering approach. However, a pure data flow model is too inflexible to express typical application behavior. This issue can be targeted by the combination of different models of computation (modal models [5]). EasyLab combines state machines with data flow graphs to allow an easy and intuitive modeling of the application. Based on the models, the tool allows both simulation and the generation of efficient code. The core features of EasyLab are explained in the following.

A. Graphical Modeling Languages

EasyLab supports two graphical modeling languages:

1) Structured flow chart: The structured flow chart language (SFC) describes the states of a program and how state transitions are performed. The language has been designed in the style of EN 61131-3 [10] (part “SFC”).

States of SFC programs are references to sub-programs that can be described in any of the available languages. Thus, a state is either an SFC program itself or a reference to an SDF program (see below) which is executed periodically. Consequently, SDF programs are the leaves in the recursive specification of an SFC program. An SFC program has exactly one initial state.

Elements in the SFC language that determine the control flow are state sequences, alternative branches (conditional execution), parallel branches, jumps and program termination.

Both sequential and alternative composition are based on conditions that are defined as Boolean expressions consisting of Boolean constants and variables as well as comparisons. Comparisons contain arithmetic expressions composed of functions and operators on constants and global and local variables. Local variables refer to values computed right before the respective condition.

Figure 3 shows two example programs in SFC language.

---

\(^1\)The German Association of Machinery Manufacturers.
Various algorithms have been found that statically compute valid schedules for multi-rate synchronous data flow graphs (if they exist) and have bounded buffer memory requirements [12], [5]. Depending on the structure of the graph, single appearance schedules can be found to minimize the amount of code [13], [14] and buffer sizes [15].

The SDF language is designed in the style of EN 61131-3 [10] (part “FBD”). We will present an example program in SDF language in figure 5 later in this paper.

B. Hardware Model

A key feature in mechatronics is the interaction between software and hardware. However, the interface between these components and of course also the hardware itself varies between different systems. This means that designing applications for mechatronic systems can only succeed if the modeling tool also allows modeling hardware aspects of the respective systems.

EasyLab’s hardware model includes all aspects that are necessary to describe the interaction of the software part of a mechatronic system and the hardware, e.g., sensors, actuators, input and output interfaces. Hence, a device type library specifies which actor instances may be used in a certain hardware environment. In conjunction with a resource management algorithm, it restricts the user to the set of hardware resources that is actually available.

The device descriptions also specify how hardware resources are represented in the application logic and how these representations are mapped to the real hardware (see also section III-D). As for actor types in the SDF language, device types are defined using actions start, stop and step specifying the behavior when the application starts, terminates or when a single step in the SFC program is performed. To guarantee correct operation, access to the underlying hardware is buffered using a set of input and output variables.

C. Code Generation

EasyLab features an integrated code generator that transforms the application model into code suitable for the respective compiler. This is achieved by assigning a code template to each primitive element in the respective modeling language. Currently, models are transformed into C code (supporting the mcc18 and avr-gcc compiler tool chains).

In the generated code, each state and transition condition of an SFC program is represented as a function performing an action and returning the address of the function to be executed next (inspired by the continuation passing style of functional programming languages). References to subprograms have the effect of executing the respective program and returning a function to be executed next, usually the subsequent transition condition. Functions representing transition conditions have no side effects and evaluate the respective Boolean expression.

While the transformation of SFC programs into executable programs is straightforward, the implementation of multi-rate data flow graphs into efficient code relies on static scheduling techniques as pointed out in section III-A.2.
Invoking the compiler as well as transferring the program to the target device is also integrated into EasyLab. We are working on further model transformations that optimize the generated code in terms of memory usage and runtime.

D. Runtime Library

In the sections above, we implicitly stated that employing code templates is sufficient to distinguish how a certain functionality is implemented across different microprocessor architectures. Although this might be true, writing a separate code template for each target architecture is tedious and scales badly. Furthermore, it would be very hard to ensure the functional consistency of the various code templates. Therefore, we added another layer in between the code templates and the actual hardware: a set of platform-specific runtime libraries.

Each runtime library provides basic hardware-related functionality that is common among almost all types of microprocessors (digital and analog I/O, communication via UART, etc.) as well as some software-only features (data structures optimized for low resource usage, fixed-point arithmetic, etc.). The runtime libraries for all target platforms implement a common interface that is designed to allow implementation of a subset of all possible features if some features are not supported by the respective microprocessor.

After code generation, the runtime library corresponding to the selected microcontroller is linked against the generated program. This allows code templates to use the common interface exposed by all runtime libraries, hence making them much more compact and less error-prone. Furthermore, this design will improve the possibility to optimize the code contained in the code templates because they have less dependencies on the actual hardware and are formulated on a higher level of abstraction.

Actually, EasyLab’s runtime library offers at least a subset of the functionality of operating systems for resource-constrained platforms (e.g., Contiki [16], TinyOS [17], FreeRTOS [18]). With these systems, application code is developed against a given API and a firmware image is obtained by linking both the user-supplied and the operating system’s code into a monolithic firmware image. In fact, EasyLab’s modular approach (see section III-F) allows for the implementation of an alternative runtime library on top of existing operating systems like those mentioned above.

E. Simulation

Besides the generation of code, EasyLab also features direct simulation of models (i.e., without code generation). In order to simulate as much of the mechatronic system as possible, EasyLab simulates both application code and hardware devices. While simulation can be used to detect design errors early in the development process or if the hardware is currently not available, it is also possible to perform control tasks directly in simulation mode.

In this context, EasyLab has successfully been used to control the mobile robot platform Robotino over a wireless network connection using appropriate device plugins (see next section). A line follow application that is based on the robot’s camera and several image processing actors has been used to verify the performance of the simulation component.

F. Expandability

The application is built up in a modular way to ensure reusability of programs developed for one target application in other projects. A developer may expand the functionality of EasyLab in the following dimensions:

- New actor types can be added to the actor type library. For each actor type, an annotated code template as well as a simulation plugin have to be specified. Actors can be reused in any project developed with EasyLab.
- New hardware models may be added to the device type library. This allows a developer to add completely new combinations of hardware components. This is especially useful for the Match-X construction kit, where hardware modules may be combined in many ways. Hardware-specific actor types can be configured to be only available if a certain device instance is added to the project.
- New compiler tool chains may be added. This is necessary if a new type of processor is to be used as target platform for EasyLab. Tool chains specify which external programs are used to build the application and transfer it to the target device. They also influence how the code is generated. As this approach may not provide enough flexibility for all situations, a developer also has the choice of implementing the requested functionality as part of the EasyLab runtime library that is then linked to the project according to the selected tool chain.

IV. Evaluation

Two sample applications were implemented to demonstrate the benefits of the developed tool, namely the modular design of the system with respect to both hardware and software and the efficiency of the generated code.

A. Pneumatic Cylinder

The first evaluation scenario involves a pneumatic cylinder that is controlled by a microcontroller from the Match-X construction kit. The cylinder has two magnetic valves for expanding and retracting a piston. Furthermore, an analog sensor measures the current position of the piston. Figure 4 shows the experimental setup. The Match-X stack used in this experiment consists of the following building blocks: voltage regulator, CPU, A/D converter (for analog sensor input) and two drivers for inductive loads (one per valve).

The control task that should be achieved is to move the piston to a predefined position and to hold that position even if some force is applied to the piston. Since no proportional valves were available at the time of writing this paper, the corresponding control program is quite simple.

For all hardware sensors and actuators, adequate software actors are available in EasyLab. Figure 5 shows the program as an SDF graph. The constant pos defines the set point and
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**Fig. 4.** Pneumatic cylinder experimental setup

tol gives a certain tolerance for the position of the piston to avoid oscillation. The controller regulates the piston to a position in the interval \([pos - tol, pos + tol]\).

**Fig. 5.** Pneumatic cylinder controller in SDF language

\[ \text{Value} \quad \text{Const} \quad \text{Pos} \]

\[ \text{Addition} \quad s1 \quad s2 \quad \text{Sum} \]

\[ \text{Less than} \quad \text{Left} \quad \text{Right} \quad \text{Valve1} \quad \text{Retract} \]

\[ \text{Value} \quad \text{Const} \quad \text{Pos} \]

\[ \text{Subtraction} \quad \text{Sub} \quad \text{Min} \]

\[ \text{Greater than} \quad \text{Left} \quad \text{Right} \quad \text{Valve2} \quad \text{Expand} \]

**Fig. 6.** Inverted pendulum experimental setup

The goal of the experiment is to accelerate the pendulum by moving the cart from one side to the other until the rod is in an upright position and hold the rod in that position afterwards. During both stages, the cart should be aligned to the center of the rail to prevent it from moving off the rail.

The experimental setup features two sensors and one actuator: one sensor measures the position of the cart, the second one measures the inclination of the rod. The only actuator is the electric motor, for which we regulate the voltage.

To demonstrate the efficiency of the code generated by EasyLab, we implemented the system using an ATMEL ATmega128 microcontroller with a clock frequency of 16 MHz. First, we augmented EasyLab to allow us to access the sensor values necessary to achieve the task. For this purpose, we added a new actor type that returns the current value of the respective sensor as well as its derivative.

A suitable controller for a self-erecting inverted pendulum consists of two modes (swing-up and balance), which are implemented in terms of the SFC program depicted in figure 7. The controller used to erect the rod is based on a heuristic using a proportional-velocity cart position controller that swings the rod back and forth until the rod has a maximum deviation of \(\varepsilon\) to the upright position (first state in SFC program and subsequent transition condition). The second state corresponds to the execution of the balance SDF program according to equation (1) (see below), which can be derived from a linear state-space model of the pendulum using the linear quadratic regulator (LQR) technique. While the balance state is never left, the final jump was added to obtain a well-formed program.

\[ [\alpha_i < \varepsilon] \]

**Fig. 7.** Inverted pendulum SFC program

For the \(i\)th step, let \(\alpha_i\) be the inclination of the rod (in radians), \(\partial \alpha_i\) the angular velocity and let \(\alpha_i = 0\) if the rod is in erect position. Let \(c_i\) be the position of the cart, \(\partial c_i\) its velocity and \(c = 0\) if the cart is in its center position. Then a PID controller used to balance the pendulum in upright position can be defined as follows, where \(U_n\) is the voltage to drive the motor with at step \(n \geq 0\) (constants \(k_1, \ldots, k_6\) depend of the physical characteristics of the rod):

\[
U_n = k_1 \cdot \alpha_n + k_2 \cdot \partial \alpha_n + k_3 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_i + k_4 \cdot c_n + k_5 \cdot \partial c_n + k_6 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i
\]  

(1)

The transformation of above formula into an SDF program is straightforward.
V. RELATED WORK

EasyLab is unique in the sense that it supports hardware/software co-design without focusing on a specific set of hardware platforms. It is expandable both regarding modeling and code generation.

Several other tools and projects influenced the development of EasyLab. The graphical user interface is in the style of state-of-the-art tools like Matlab/Simulink [2] that also provide data flow design based on actors. The theory behind actors is however derived from the project Ptolemy [5]. In contrast to both tools, EasyLab augments the notion of actors by introducing hardware related actors which is a precondition for successful hardware/software co-design. The introduction of typed data flow allows for the generation of code tailored to resource-constrained target architectures.

EasyLab uses synchronous data flow graphs and structured flow charts as model of computation, as defined in EN 61131-3 [10]. While there are several other tools such as CoDeSys that are compliant with this norm, EasyLab is the only one that can be augmented for a specific hardware platform and can thus generate optimized hardware-dependent code. The concept for code generation is based on previous work on template-based code generation [6], [20].

VI. SUMMARY

In this work, we presented a new model-based programming tool for mechatronic systems. In contrast to well-known tools from the world of embedded systems, EasyLab also provides components that are specialized for mechatronic systems, where the diversity of the used hardware is much more relevant than in other types of embedded systems.

We have also shown that EasyLab allows both modeling of software and hardware functionality and introduced the two graphical modeling languages that have been implemented so far, namely synchronous data flow (SDF) and state flow charts (SFC).

Finally, we presented two demonstration setups that showed that the workflow of programming mechatronic systems is the same for different types of microprocessor platforms. The inverted pendulum setup demonstrates that the code generated by EasyLab performs well and is suitable for real-time control tasks.

VII. FUTURE WORK

We are currently working on a remote debugging facility for programs generated by EasyLab whose purpose is to provide transparent real-time access to the state of a mechatronic system at the granularity of the underlying model. It is based on code instrumentation and a marshaling layer that is tailored to the resources and communication interfaces that are available in typical embedded systems. Thus, firmly integrated inspection and manipulation of both data and program state at the model level will increase the abstraction also in the debugging phase of the product development cycle.

We also plan to extend EasyLab to support networked (distributed) mechatronic systems. The time-triggered model [21] seems to be a feasible approach that integrates well with the synchronous data flow employed in the current stage.
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